ORIGINAL RESEARCH

1. Plant species with fleshy fruits offer animals rewards such as sugar, protein, and

2. In some systems, fruit color serves as a reliable visual signal of nutrient content.

3. We examine the relationship between olfactory signals and nutrient rewards in 28

and olfactory signals indicating their presence and ripeness.

as well as the relative amounts of sugar and protein in fruit pulp.

animal-plant interactions, communication, frugivory, olfaction, seed dispersal

associated with the chemical composition of fruit scent.

fat, to feed on their fruits and disperse their seeds. They have also evolved visual

Yet even though many volatile chemicals used as olfactory signals derive from

nutrients animals seek, it is still unknown whether fruit scent encodes information

fruiting plant species in Madagascar. We measured the relative amounts of four

chemical classes in fruit scent using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry,

cally related volatile compounds in fruit scent. In contrast, sugar content is strongly

4. We found that protein levels are not associated with elevated amounts of chemi-

5. To our knowledge, this is the first research to explore the connection between

sugar, fruit scent is predictive of nutrient content and hence an honest signal.

fruit chemical signals and nutrient rewards. Our results imply that in the case of

WILEY

Signal and reward in wild fleshy fruits: Does fruit scent predict nutrient content?

Omer Nevo¹ Diary Razafimandimby² | Kim Valenta³ | Juan Antonio James Jeffrey^{1,4} | Christoph Reisdorff⁵ | Colin A. Chapman^{6,7,8} | Jörg U. Ganzhorn⁹ | Manfred Avasse¹

regarding nutrient content in wild fruits.

Abstract

KEYWORDS

¹Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany

²Faculty of Sciences, Zoology and Animal Biodiversity, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo, Madagascar

³Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

⁴Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

⁵Institute of Plant Science and Microbiology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁶Department of Anthropology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

⁷School of Life Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Scottsville, South Africa

⁸Key Laboratory of Resource Biology and Biotechnology in Western China of Ministry of Education, College of Life Science, Northwest University, Xian, China

⁹Animal Ecology and Conservation, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

Correspondence

Omer Nevo, University of Ulm, Bio3, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany. Email: omer.nevo@evolutionary-ecology.de

Funding information

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant/ Award Number: NE2156/1-1

1 | INTRODUCTION

Fleshy fruits have evolved multiple times across angiosperm families (Bolmgren & Eriksson, 2005, 2010), and dispersal by fruit-eating animals is the predominant seed dispersal strategy among tropical woody plants (Howe & Westley, 1988). Excluding a few cases of mimetic fruits that do not offer a reward (Galetti, 2002), fleshy fruits obtain animal seed dispersal services by offering macronutrients such as sugar, fat, and protein, as well as water, antioxidants, minerals, and vitamins (Contreras-Calderón, Calderón-Jaimes, Guerra-Hernández,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

& García-Villanova, 2011: Herrera, 1984: Howe & Estabrook, 1977). In many lineages, fruit traits such as size (Brodie, 2017; Galetti et al., 2013), shape (Lord, 2002), and husk thickness (Janson, 1983) are suggested to have evolved to increase the accessibility and attractiveness of fruits to frugivores (Valenta, Nevo, Martel, & Chapman, 2017). In addition to characteristics which directly enhance fruit quality from the perspective of the frugivore, some fruit traits have evolved as signals which help animals detect, identify, and select ripe fruits. Fruits whose seeds are dispersed by different animals tend to be differently colored (Lomáscolo & Schaefer, 2010; Valenta et al., 2018), and there is evidence that fruit color is under selection to increase color contrasts with background foliage to render fruits more visually conspicuous (Lomáscolo & Schaefer, 2010; Nevo, Valenta, et al., 2018; Schaefer, Valido, & Jordano, 2014). Similarly, fruit scentthe volatile chemicals emitted by ripe fruits-plays a role in frugivoreplant interactions (Nevo & Ayasse, 2019). Ripe fruit scent facilitates bat (Hodgkison et al., 2013, 2007) and primate (Melin et al., 2019; Nevo et al., 2015; Nevo & Heymann, 2015; Nevo, Razafimandimby, Jeffrey, Schulz, & Ayasse, 2018; Nevo & Valenta, 2018; Valenta et al., 2013) fruit selection, and the ripeness of fruits is signaled by the chemical composition of fruit scent (Hodgkison et al., 2007; Nevo, Heymann, Schulz, & Ayasse, 2016; Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018). In this, fruit scent is now recognized to play an important role in animal-plant interactions, similar to the more thoroughly studied role of floral scent in mediating pollinator-plant interactions (Raguso, 2008; Schiestl, 2015).

Whether visual and olfactory signals go beyond the signaling ripeness/presence and provide information on nutrient content is still debated (Albrecht, Hagge, Schabo, Schaefer, & Farwig, 2018). Studies of fruit color have predominated research on this debate. In the black elder (Sambucus nigra), visual contrasts in the pedicels bearing the fruits are positively associated with sugar content (Schaefer & Braun, 2009). Chromatic and achromatic (brightness) properties of fruits are also sometimes correlated with nutritional content (Cazetta, Galetti, Rezende, & Schaefer, 2012; Valido, Schaefer, & Jordano, 2011). In Mediterranean habitats, fruit color correlates with lipid content (Schaefer et al., 2014), and in northern Europe, fruit brightness predicts lipid, sugar, and anthocyanin content (Albrecht et al., 2018). Interestingly, the relationship between lipid content and brightness is inverted in the latter two studies (Albrecht et al., 2018; Schaefer et al., 2014), suggesting that color signals may be location dependent and do not reflect an inherent biochemical connection between signal and reward. The absence of biochemical associations between signal and reward renders the evolution of honest signals less likely and would require either repeated interactions that allow frugivores to punish dishonest mutualists or a costliness of signals (Schaefer & Ruxton, 2011).

The study of fruit scent has lagged far behind that of fruit color, and little is known about whether scent is predictive of nutritional content (Nevo & Ayasse, 2019; Nevo & Valenta, 2018). Most investigations of fruit scent have estimated overall amounts of VOCs emitted and did not identify their chemical constituents (Lomáscolo, Levey, Kimball, Bolker, & Alborn, 2010; Valenta et al., 2015, 2013), or have focused on summarizing indices based on entire bouquets rather than individual chemicals (Nevo et al., 2016; Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018). Ethanol, a product of sugar fermentation, has been suggested to offer a reliable cue for sugar content in fruits (Dudley, 2000, 2002), and while it is correlated with sugar level in some fruits (Dominy, 2004; Sánchez, Korine, Pinshow, & Dudley, 2004; Sánchez et al., 2006), evidence for its use by frugivores is so far absent (Nevo & Valenta, 2018). Notably, ethanol is not a plant secondary metabolite but rather a product of microbial activity. Thus, there is to date no information about whether aroma compounds synthesized by plants are indicative of fruit nutritional content.

The scent of fruit of a given species typically contains dozens to hundreds of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including terpenoids, aromatics, fatty acid derivatives, and, more rarely, nitrogen- and sulfur-containing compounds (Nevo et al., 2016; Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018; Nevo & Valenta, 2018). Many fruit VOCs are synthesized from precursors to nutritionally beneficial compounds, and thus, the presence or concentration of various VOCs may reliably convey the nutrient content of a fruit (Goff & Klee, 2006). A direct biochemical relationship between signal and reward gives a strongest basis on which honest signals can evolve (Schaefer & Ruxton, 2011). The evolution of such honest signals is expected because they can enhance fitness of both animals and plants. Under this scenario, animal dispersers can select the most nutritious fruits, and plants can benefit by deterring animals from feeding on fruits that do not have seeds ready for dispersal. In addition, offering dispersers reliable nutrient information can make fruits more attractive and give plants an advantage in attracting seed dispersers. However, to date, no study has tested whether the concentration of any VOC or class of VOCs is a reliable indicator of nutrient content in wild fruits.

Here, we examine whether fruit scent chemistry is predictive of nutrient content across 28 plant species from Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar. Previous work has shown that lemurs, the main seed dispersers in the system, rely on fruit scent to identify ripe fruits (Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018; Valenta et al., 2013). We chose four VOC classes hypothesized to be positively correlated with nutrient content: nitrogen/sulfur-containing compounds (henceforth N/S), terpenoids, methyl/ethyl esters (Nevo & Valenta, 2018), and aromatic compounds. N/S compounds are synthesized from metabolized protein (Knudsen, Eriksson, Gershenzon, & Ståhl, 2006), and thus, their presence in fruit scent can possibly be associated with protein content. Similarly, aromatic compounds are synthesized from the amino acid L-phenylalanine (Widhalm & Dudareva, 2015) and may therefore be more common in protein-rich fruits. Terpenoids are ubiquitous in fruit scent (Hodgkison et al., 2013; Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018) and share a biosynthetic pathway with isoprene-a compound whose emission in leaves is associated with elevated photosynthesis (Lerdau & Throop, 2000). Methyl and ethyl esters are synthesized from fusing a carboxylic acid and methanol or ethanol, which have been suggested to be a product of fruit maturation, either of cell wall degradation (methanol) or of sugar fermentation (ethanol) (Nevo & Valenta, 2018; Sánchez et al., 2006). Our main goal is to examine whether, across species, chemical

-WILEY

signals consistently and reliably signal fruit nutrient quality. We conducted chemical analysis of fruit nutritional content and scent, and used phylogenetically controlled models to examine whether, across species, fruits with higher concentrations of protein and sugar also emit more NS compounds, aromatic compounds, terpenoids, or methyl/ethyl esters. We further test the phylogenetic signal in all traits to examine whether closely related taxa tend to be similar and compare the nutritional content of lemur- and bird-dispersed fruits.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

The project was conducted in Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar between October 2016 and December 2017. In total, 410 ripe fruits (mean: 14.6 per individual tree) of 83 individual plants (mean: 2.96 per species) of 28 plant species were collected and brought to the laboratory within 3 hr of collection for processing (Table S1 and Figure S1). Fruits were collected during the day (8-14 am). As a part of a larger project that compared ripe and unripe fruits, all fruits included in the study were fully ripe: They changed their color and softened to the degree to which ripe fruits of the species do, contained fully mature and viable seeds, and were generally in the ripeness stage in which they are consumed by frugivores. Although we could not fully standardize the sample collection (e.g., collect all fruits at "peak ripeness"), each sample is composed of multiple individual fruits, and in the vast majority of species also multiple individual plants, pooled together and averaged (Table S1). This should eliminate most of the noise, which may have been introduced during sample collection. Seventeen species in the system are consumed solely by lemurs, who are also known to relay on fruit scent to identify ripe fruits, and 11 are either exclusively or to a large degree consumed by frugivorous birds (Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018, Table S1).

2.1 | Scent sampling and analysis

We used fruit scent data published in Nevo, Valenta, et al. (2018). We sampled scent using semistatic headspace procedure. We placed the sample in a chamber made of 40 cm of an oven bag (Toppits). The bags were completely sealed on one end. On the other, they were sealed around a teflon tube holding a chromatoprobe VOC trap (Dötterl & Jürgens, 2005). Chromatoprobes contained 1.5 mg Tenax, 1.5 mg of Carbotrap, and 1.5 mg of Carbosieve III (all from SigmaAldrich) trapped between layers of glass wool. After 30 min, we pumped the accumulating air in the bag for 1 min onto the trap at 200 ml/min. 1.5 hr later, the bag was emptied by pumping all air onto the same trap for 10 min. Afterward, we stored the probe at -20° C.

We analyzed scent samples on an Agilent gas chromatograph 7890B equipped with an Agilent DB5 unpolar capillary column (DB5, 30 m \times 0.25 mm diameter; Agilent Technologies) and a cold injection system (CIS 4C; Gerstel), coupled with an Agilent mass spectrometer 5977A. Samples were introduced to the thermal desorption unit (TDU) at 10°C. After 1 min, the TDU started heating up at 15°C/min until it reached 300°C, a temperature which was held for 15 min. The liner was cooled to -100°C using liquid nitrogen. After the transfer to the liner, it was heated up with 12°C/min until the temperature reached 290°C, which was maintained for 6 min. Initial oven temperature was 50°C. This temperature was maintained for 1 min and then increased by 10°C/min to 325°C, which was held for 20 min. The MS transfer line temperature was set to 280°C, the MS source temperature was set to 230°C, and the MS quad temperature was set to 150°C. The MS operated at electron ionization mode and scanned between 35 and 450 Da.

We analyzed the samples using Amdis 2.71. We identified VOCs based on their mass spectra using the NIST11 mass spectra library and their retention indices, which were calculated using an n-alkane reference mixture. Compounds that are known contaminants (e.g., siloxanes and phthalates) were excluded. Other contaminants that we found in control samples were also potentially genuine plant compounds. We therefore calculated their mean amount in the controls and subtracted this sum from all samples.

To calculate the relative abundance of N/S compounds, aromatic compounds, terpenoids, and methyl/ethyl esters, we summed the estimated amount of all compounds of those categories and divided them by the sum of all compounds identified in the scent bouquets. We used relative amounts of chemical compounds because it allowed direct comparison of species with fruits of varying sizes, and also because when dealing with complex scent bouquets, animals tend to perceive fragrance as mixtures rather than individual compounds (Wilson, Stevenson, & Stevenson, 2006), thus making the relative amounts of scent compounds more ecologically relevant. N/S compounds were defined as all VOCs that contain nitrogen or sulfur. Aromatic compounds include all those which contain at least one aromatic ring. Terpenoids include all monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and derivatives such as linalool. Methyl/ethyl esters include all volatile esters with methanol or ethanol comprising the alcohol component. Note that some compounds belong to two categories (e.g., benzoic acid, methyl ester) and are thus used in both sugar and protein analyses. As a result, the relative share of the compound classes may exceed 100% in some species.

2.2 | Nutritional analysis

Subsequent to scent analysis, the same fruits were used for nutritional analyses. Seeds were extracted, the wet mass was determined, samples were dried at 45°C until fully dry, and dry mass was determined. The nitrogen content (a proxy of crude protein) was measured by mass spectrometry according to Tom-Dery, Eller, Jensen, and Reisdorff (2018) in aliquots of dried samples by an elemental analyzer (EURO-EA 3000; Euro Vector). Mass calibration was conducted by the use of the certified standard 2,5-bis (5-tertbutyl-2-benzoxazol-2-yl) thiophene (6.51% N; 72.52% C; HEKAtech). Sugar content analysis followed the photometric procedures outlined by Donati, Bollen, Borgognini-Tarli, and Ganzhorn (2007). Dried samples were ground to pass a 1-mm sieve and kept in a desiccator

TABLE 1 Scent and nutritional data for all species

	Nutrition		Scent			
	% nitrogen (mean absolute amount in a single fruit, mg)	% sugar (mean abso- lute amount in a single fruit, mg)	% aromatics	% terpenoids	% N/S	% methyl/ ethyl esters
Anacardiaceae						
Micronychia macrophylla	1 (0.9)	29 (28.7)	0.5	91.1	0.0	0.1
Weinmannia rutenbergii	0.5 (0)	6.8 (0.6)	2.3	33.6	6.4	0.0
Araliaceae						
Polyscias sp.	1.3 (3)	16.6 (51.3)	12.2	44.8	6.1	0.0
Clusiaceae						
Garcinia sp.	0.5 (1.3)	37.8 (116.8)	39.3	17.6	0.1	81.0
Euphorbiaceae						
Macaranga myriolepida	1.6 (0.3)	6.1 (1)	0.3	95.6	0.1	0.6
Hypericaceae						
Psorospermum androsaemifolium	1.6 (1.6)	13.2 (13.4)	8.5	77.3	0.0	0.0
Lauraceae						
Cryptocaria crassifolia	1.6 (2.5)	18.1 (29.4)	0.3	95.3	0.0	0.0
Cryptocaria sp.	0.7 (16.8)	3.3 (79.4)	0.1	97.9	0.0	0.0
Moraceae						
Ficus botryoides	1.5 (35)	9.8 (219.8)	4.0	62.4	0.4	25.2
Ficus lutea	0.6 (3.2)	25.6 (125.8)	0.7	90.5	0.3	0.2
Ficus politoria	1.8 (2.5)	20.4 (27)	12.1	36.7	2.9	0.4
Ficus reflexa	0.4 (0.2)	46.3 (25.6)	6.9	29.4	0.3	1.0
Ficus tiliifolia	0.8 (13.3)	31.8 (529.5)	0.1	0.9	0.0	86.1
Myrtaceae						
Eugenia sp.	1.2 (5.3)	3.9 (18.8)	0.5	98.3	0.0	0.0
Psidium cattleianum	0.5 (7.3)	40.9 (508.3)	0.6	19.3	0.1	10.6
Syzygium emirnese	0.7 (0.2)	56.7 (19.2)	6.4	40.2	0.2	16.2
Syzygium parkeri	0.6 (0.5)	26.2 (20.7)	10.3	23.6	2.0	0.0
Oleaceae						
Noronhia incurvifolius	0.7 (3.1)	38.7 (166.5)	0.2	13.0	0.0	0.4
Piperaceae						
Piper sp.	1.4 (0.2)	13.4 (1.9)	0.8	93.7	0.0	0.0
Primulaceae						
Oncostemum botryoides	0.5 (0.5)	69.7 (66.2)	75.6	14.6	0.0	73.1
Oncostemum nervosum	0.7 (0.1)	51 (8.4)	2.0	71.4	1.1	2.8
Rubiaceae						
Chassalia ternifolia	1.3 (0.1)		22.7	28.9	3.3	1.5
Coptosperma sp.	0.6 (2.3)	36.7 (142.4)	11.9	1.8	0.0	61.1
Mussaenda arcuata	1.4 (1.5)	23.1 (23.6)	7.5	60.2	0.2	7.4
Mussaenda erectiloba	0.9 (5.5)	32.6 (204.1)	2.1	94.9	0.0	0.0
Psychotria sp.	1.1 (1.1)	47.9 (47.9)	4.1	70.3	0.1	7.6
Pyrostria sp.	0.5 (0.6)	46.1 (56.5)	5.0	9.2	0.1	12.2
Rutaceae						
Zanthoxylum madagascariensis	1.4 (0.6)	5.4 (2.3)	1.3	96.4	0.0	0.0

Percentage terpenoids, N/S compounds, and methyl/ethyl esters in scent; percentage of nitrogen (proxy of protein content) and sugar in ripe fruit dry weight. Note that in both scent and nutrition the percentages presented here do not add up to, or exceed, 100%. In scent, the rest refers to various aromatic compounds and fatty acid derivatives. In species in which scent components exceed 100%, it is because some compounds are classified in two categories (e.g., methyl benzoate). In nutrition, the analyses do not consider other components (e.g., fat, fiber, secondary compounds). Numbers in brackets are absolute amounts of nutrients (mg) in a single fruit.

Ecology and Evolution

-WILEY

prior to analyses. Soluble carbohydrates were extracted with 50% methanol. Concentrations of soluble sugars were determined as the equivalent of galactose after acid hydrolyzation of the 50% methanol extract. They should be considered as relative units rather than absolute measures.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

In all cases where more than one plant per species was available, we pooled all samples and calculated the mean amounts for the species. Sugar levels were missing for *Chassalia ternifolia*, thus analyses that include sugar are for 27 species. All our models used some of six variables: % protein, % sugar (both from the dry weight), % N/S compounds, % aromatics, % terpenoids, and % methyl/ethyl esters (latter four from total scent bouquet). Protein, sugar, and % aromatics were log transformed to acquire distributions compatible with the statistical tests. N/S compounds and methyl/ethyl esters were highly zero-inflated, with over a third of the species not emitting these compounds at all. Thus, we converted their values to binomial variables, noting whether NS compounds or methyl/ethyl esters are present or absent in the scent bouquet of a species.

We used two phylogenetically controlled least-square regression models (PGLS) and a phylogeny by Zanne et al. (2014) and Brownian motion correlation structure to test whether (a) protein content in pulp is predicted by the presence of N/S compound or the relative amount of aromatic compounds, independent of phylogeny and each other; and (b) sugar levels are predicted by the presence of methyl/ ethyl esters and the relative amount of terpenoids, independent of phylogeny, and each other. To verify model assumptions, we used variance inflation factors to verify that there were no collinearity issues, DFFITS for influence diagnostics, and q-q plots, histograms of the residuals and plotting the residuals versus the fitted values to verify the normality and homogeneity of the residuals. We used a similar approach to compare the protein and sugar contents of lemur- and bird-dispersed species. Species were categorized as either lemurdispersed (exclusively lemur-dispersed) and bird-mixed (exclusively, or mostly bird-dispersed, in some species, there are also records of lemurs occasionally feeding on the fruits). To further assess the robustness of the results, we calculated the phylogenetic signal in all variables. Since in all cases we found no significant phylogenetic signal (see Section 3), we also ran all analyses as linear regression models, that is, using the same variables but without controlling for phylogeny. We calculated the phylogenetic signal in nutrient content and VOC class using Pagel's Lambda (Pagel, 1999). All analyses were done using R 3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2014) using packages ape (Paradis, Claude, & Strimmer, 2004), car (Fox & Weisberg, 2011), phytools (Revell, 2012), and nlme (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, Sarkar, & R Core Team, 2017).

3 | RESULTS

Both scent and nutritional values showed strong variation, even within families (Table 1; Table S1). For example, % nitrogen varied

between the congeneric *Ficus reflexa* and *Ficus politoria* (Moraceae) between 0.4% and 1.8%, respectively. Sugar levels showed even greater variance, ranging between 3.3% in *Cryptocaria* sp. (Lauraceae) and 69.7% in *Oncostemum botryoides* (Primulaceae) (Table 1; Table S1). Although the small sample size within species did not allow quantitative analysis of within-species variance, species did not show much variance, and especially in terms of scent, fruits from different individuals tended to be dominated by the same chemical compounds.

Lemur- and bird-dispersed species differed in their protein, but not sugar content. Nitrogen levels were significantly higher in birddispersed species (pgls: p = .014), but sugar content was similar (pgls: p = .8; Figure 1).

Contrary to our predictions, protein levels were not predicted by either the presence of N/S or the aromatic compounds. The model containing N/S and aromatic compounds did not explain the variance in % nitrogen in fruit pulp better than a null model which did not include them (likelihood ratio test: *L*. ratio = 0.74, p = ns; Figure 2).

For sugar, the full model which included % terpenoids and the presence/absence of methyl/ethyl esters explained variance in fruit sugar content significantly better than the null model (*L*. ratio = 16.14, p < .001). Contrary to our expectations and what the literature suggests, terpenoids in ripe fruit scent were negatively correlated with the relative amount of sugar in fruits (PGLS: p < .01; Figure 3a). In contrast, the presence of methyl and ethyl esters was associated with elevated sugar levels (PGLS: p = .02; Figure 3b). Originating from the same model, these relationships are independent of phylogeny and each other.

We found no phylogenetic signal in any of the traits measured (% nitrogen, % NS compounds, % aromatic compounds, % terpenoids, % methyl/ethyl esters: $\lambda < .01$, p = 1; % sugar: $\lambda = .53$, p = .35). Consequently, the results of linear regression models (identical to those reported above but not controlling for phylogeny) were qualitatively identical to those of the PGLS models (not shown).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our study examined whether scent reliably and consistently signals nutrients in wild, ripe fruits. We tested whether certain chemical classes in fruit scent predict the protein concentration or sugar levels. We found that protein levels are not associated with the relative amounts of either aromatic or nitrogen/sulfur-containing compounds, whereas sugar levels are strongly associated with the amount or presence of terpenoids and methyl/ethyl esters in fruit scent.

The absence of a clear relationship between protein levels and N/S compounds may be the result of several factors. In addition to synthesis by the plant, the presence of nitrogen- and sulfur-containing compounds is likely to be affected by degradation of fruit tissue by microbes. This may in turn be influenced by the susceptibility of fruits to infestation by microbes, which is unrelated to fruit protein content. In other words, a protein-rich yet well-protected fruit may

6 WILEY Ecology and Evolution



FIGURE 1 Relative amounts of sugar and nitrogen in lemur- and bird-mixed consumed species. Log % sugar, log % nitrogen—log transformed percentage sugar and nitrogen in dry pulp. N = 28species for protein and 27 for sugar (see Section 2 for more details). *p* Values are from a phylogenetically controlled generalized least-squares regression model (PGLS) using he phylogeny provided by Zanne et al. (2014)

emit less N/S compounds than a similar, but less protected, fruit. Presumably, this and other effects may be weak enough if large amounts of protein in the pulp would result in large amounts of N/S compounds in the scent, which will mask other factors like microbial activity. Alas, protein levels in Malagasy fruits, in this study and in others (Donati et al., 2017; Ganzhorn et al., 2009; Valenta & Melin, 2012), are very low relative to fleshy fruits in other tropical systems. Not surprisingly, the scent of Malagasy fruits also tends to include fewer N/S compounds (Nevo & Valenta, 2018). Thus, paradoxically, even though in these conditions reliable signals for protein content would be highly useful for frugivores, it is possible that the low amounts of protein in fruit pulp generate a signal that is too weak to be detected by either the methods used or, possibly, frugivorous animals. A similar explanation might apply to the absence of any correlation between protein content and aromatic compounds in fruit scent.

Another possible explanation is that the absence of an association between signal and reward is linked to the lower amounts of protein found in lemur-dispersed fruits (Figure 1). Due to their lower color vision capacities and more developed olfactory systems, lemurs tend to rely on fruit scent more than most frugivorous birds (Nevo & Ayasse, 2019). In a previous study of the system used here, only lemur-dispersed fruits were found to signal ripeness through scent (Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018). It is thus possible that since the species which benefit more from scent signaling (lemurdispersed) are poor in protein, they have simply not been selected to emit reliable signals exposing their low protein content, whereas bird-dispersed species do not do so because their target seed disperser is less likely to use olfactory cues. In other words, the relationship we expected to find would require bird-dispersed species to emit N/S compounds, but they do not benefit from doing so.

In contrast, we found that across species sugar levels in fruits are strongly associated with chemical constituents of fruit scent. The positive association between methyl and ethyl esters and sugar levels is not surprising as alcohols are often the limiting factor in ester synthesis (Beekwilder et al., 2004). Methanol, the precursor for methyl esters, is a product of cell wall degradation, which also leads to fruit softening (Sánchez et al., 2006). Ethanol, which is used for ethyl ester synthesis, is a product of microbial fermentation, and thus, elevated sugar levels are likely to be associated with increased ethanol synthesis. Thus, sugars and methyl/ethyl esters may be highly correlated due to a straightforward biochemical pathway, and their presence in fruit scent is likely to be an honest signal for sugar content.

The negative relationship between sugars and terpenoid emission was in contrast to our expectations. We predicted a positive relationship based on the positive correlation between photosynthetic activity and isoprene synthesis in leaves (Lerdau & Throop, 2000), and the corollary inference that increased photosynthesis should be associated with both sugar and terpenoid synthesis. While terpenoids have been shown to function as frugivore attractants (Hodgkison et al., 2013; Nevo et al., 2015, 2016), many function as chemical defense barriers (Farmer, 2014; Nevo et al., 2017; Unsicker, Kunert, & Gershenzon, 2009). Thus, their synthesis and emission in ripe fruits may be dominated by factors unrelated to animal signaling. Yet crucially, the question at the core of our study was whether the presence of various chemicals in fruit scent may be consistently associated with fruit nutritional content. Even though this result is contrary to our predictions, this strong negative relationship may still be useful for frugivores.

It is important to note that the relationships reported here are across species, not within them. As such, the results emphasize that the presence or amount of some chemicals in fruit scent may be consistently associated with sugar content. This is the basis for honest signaling in fruit scent: If scent compounds are biochemically associated with nutrients and their presence provides the same information across species, animals can learn to use them in the context of food selection (Schaefer & Ruxton, 2011). Given these results, we predict that a similar relationship between sugar and aliphatic esters and terpenes may be present within species. While beyond the scope of the current study, future studies should include behavioral bioassays to examine to what extent animals prefer fruits whose scent is richer in relevant scent compounds. Yet, another possible approach to address this question is to experimentally manipulate the nutrients available for plants and record whether this affects the volatiles we hypothesized to be associated with that nutrient.

Our study focused on four chemical classes that may be predictive of sugar and protein contents. Yet, animals may seek other macronutrients such as fat or use chemical cues to avoid undesirable contents



FIGURE 2 Relationship between nitrogen and the relative share of aromatic compounds (a) or (b) presence of nitrogen/sulfur (N/S) containing compounds in fruit scent. N/S–nitrogen- and sulfur-containing VOCs. log % protein–percentage protein in fruit dry weight, log transformed. Log % aromatics–percentage aromatic compounds in scent profile, log transformed. *N* = 28 species. *p* Values are from a phylogenetically controlled generalized least-squares regression model (PGLS) using a phylogeny by Zanne et al. (2014)



FIGURE 3 The relationship between sugar level and the relative amounts of terpenoids (a) and methyl/ethyl esters in fruit scent (b). (a): % terpenoids—relative amount of terpenoids in ripe fruit scent. (b): presence or absence of methyl and ethyl esters in fruit scent. In both: log % sugar—percentage sugar in fruit dry weight, log transformed. Y-axis on the left applies to both plots. *N* = 27 species. *p* Values are from a single phylogenetically controlled generalized least-squares regression model (PGLS) using a phylogeny by Zanne et al. (2014). Effects are thus independent of phylogeny and one another

such as fiber or unpalatable secondary metabolites. Similarly, scent may also signify the presence of micronutrients such as vitamins. This could unfortunately not be addressed in the current study due to the difficulties in extracting enough plant material to conduct all the analyses, but remain an interesting avenue for future studies.

A biochemical association between signal and reward is probably the most important and common substrate on which honest signals can evolve, especially in fleshy fruits, in which the fruit is both signal and reward (Schaefer & Ruxton, 2011). To our knowledge, a relationship between chemical signals and reward has so far only been identified in specialized ant-dispersal systems in which the attractant—often a long chain fatty acid—also serves as the reward (Pfeiffer, Huttenlocher, & Ayasse, 2010). Our results expand this phenomenon to much larger and generalized seed dispersal systems and provide the first evidence for a association between fruit chemical signals and nutrient rewards in fleshy fruits. These results suggest that fruit chemical signaling through scent is—at least in the case of sugar—constrained by their macronutrient content and thus that within species fruit scent may function as an honest signal indicating fruit quality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The project was funded by a German Science Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft; DFG) grant (NE 2156/1-1). We thank Patricia Wright, Jean-Claude Razafimahimodison, Pascal Rabeson, and the entire staff of Centre ValBio for their support during field work. Samples were collected under research permit number 203/16/MEEF/SG/DGF/DSAP/SCB.Re and exported under permit number 322N-EV11/MG16. We thank MICET for help in obtaining research and export permits. We thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

ON acquired funding, designed the study, collected samples, conducted fruit scent analyses, conducted statistical analyses, and -WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

wrote the manuscript. DR collected samples. KV wrote the manuscript. JAJJ helped in lab work. CAC wrote the manuscript. JUG and CR conducted nutritional analyses. MA participated in funding acquisition, project design, and writing the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data used for the analyses are available in Table S1. Raw data from which scent variables were collected are fully available in Tables S2 and S3 in Nevo, Razafimandimby, et al., 2018. R code of statistical analysis is available at https://github.com/omernevo/Fruit-scent-and-nutrition---across-species-analysis.

ORCID

Omer Nevo (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3549-4509

REFERENCES

- Albrecht, J., Hagge, J., Schabo, D. G., Schaefer, H. M., & Farwig, N. (2018). Reward regulation in plant-frugivore networks requires only weak cues. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 4838. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-07362-z
- Beekwilder, J., Alvarez-Huerta, M., Neef, E., Verstappen, F. W. A., Bouwmeester, H. J., & Aharoni, A. (2004). Functional characterization of enzymes forming volatile esters from strawberry and banana. *Plant Physiology*, 135(4), 1865–1878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodr es.2013.01.012
- Bolmgren, K., & Eriksson, O. (2005). Fleshy fruits origins, niche shifts, and diversification. Oikos, 109, 255–272. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.12663.x
- Bolmgren, K., & Eriksson, O. (2010). Seed mass and the evolution of fleshy fruits in angiosperms. *Oikos*, 119, 707–718. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17944.x
- Brodie, J. F. (2017). Evolutionary cascades induced by large frugivores. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 11998–12002. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710172114
- Cazetta, E., Galetti, M., Rezende, E. L., & Schaefer, H. M. (2012). On the reliability of visual communication in vertebrate-dispersed fruits. *Journal of Ecology*, 100(1), 277–286. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01901.x
- Contreras-Calderón, J., Calderón-Jaimes, L., Guerra-Hernández, E., & García-Villanova, B. (2011). Antioxidant capacity, phenolic content and vitamin C in pulp, peel and seed from 24 exotic fruits from Colombia. *Food Research International*, 44(7), 2047–2053. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.11.003
- Dominy, N. J. (2004). Fruits, fingers, and fermentation: The sensory cues available to foraging primates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 44(4), 295–303. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/44.4.295
- Donati, G., Bollen, A., Borgognini-Tarli, S. M., & Ganzhorn, J. U. (2007). Feeding over the 24-h cycle: Dietary flexibility of cathemeral collared lemurs (*Eulemur collaris*). *Behavioral Ecology* and Sociobiology, 61(8), 1237-1251. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00265-007-0354-x
- Donati, G., Santini, L., Eppley, T. M., Arrigo-Nelson, S. J., Balestri, M., Boinski, S., ... Ganzhorn, J. U. (2017). Low levels of fruit nitrogen as drivers for the evolution of Madagascar's primate communities. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 14406–14406. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-13906-y

- Dötterl, S., & Jürgens, A. (2005). Spatial fragrance patterns in flowers of Silene latifolia: Lilac compounds as olfactory nectar guides? Plant Systematics and Evolution, 255(1–2), 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00606-005-0344-2
- Dudley, R. (2000). Evolutionary origins of human alcoholism in primate frugivory. Quarterly Review of Biology, 75, 3–15. https://doi. org/10.1086/393255
- Dudley, R. (2002). Fermenting fruit and the historical ecology of ethanol ingestion: Is alcoholism in modern humans an evolutionary hangover. *Addiction*, 97, 381–388. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00002.x
- Farmer, E. E. (2014). Leaf defence. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2011). An R companion to applied regression (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Galetti, M. (2002). Seed dispersal of mimetic fruits: Parasitism, mutualism, aposematism or exaptation? In D. J. Levey, W. R. Silva, & M. Galetti (Eds.), Seed dispersal and frugivory: Ecology, evolution and conservation (pp. 177–191). Wallingford, UK: Woodhead Publishing.
- Galetti, M., Guevara, R., Cortes, M. C., Fadini, R., Von Matter, S., Leite, A. B., ... Jordano, P. (2013). Functional extinction of birds drives rapid evolutionary changes in seed size. *Science*, 340, 1086–1090. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1233774
- Ganzhorn, J. U., Arrigo-Nelson, S., Boinski, S., Bollen, A. N., Carrai, V., Derby, A., ... Wright, P. C. (2009). Possible fruit protein effects on primate communities in Madagascar and the Neotropics. *PLoS ONE*, 4, e8253. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008253
- Goff, S. A., & Klee, H. J. (2006). Plant volatile compounds: Sensory cues for health and nutritional value? *Science*, 311(5762), 815–819.
- Herrera, C. M. (1984). Seed dispersal and fitness determinants in wild rose: Combined effects of hawthorn, birds, mice, and browsing ungulates. *Oecologia*, 63(3), 386–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00390670
- Hodgkison, R., Ayasse, M., Häberlein, C., Schulz, S., Zubaid, A., Mustapha, W. A. W., ... Kalko, E. K. V. (2013). Fruit bats and bat fruits: The evolution of fruit scent in relation to the foraging behaviour of bats in the New and Old World tropics. *Functional Ecology*, 27, 1075–1084. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12101
- Hodgkison, R., Ayasse, M., Kalko, E. K. V., Häberlein, C., Schulz, S., Mustapha, W. A. W., ... Kunz, T. H. (2007). Chemical ecology of fruit bat foraging behavior in relation to the fruit odors of two species of Paleotropical bat-dispersed figs (*Ficus hispida* and *Ficus scortechinii*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *33*, 2097–2110. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10886-007-9367-1
- Howe, H. F., & Estabrook, G. F. (1977). On intraspecific competition for avian dispersers in tropical trees. *American Naturalist*, 111, 817-832. https://doi.org/10.1086/283216
- Howe, H. F., & Westley, L. C. (1988). Ecological relationships of plants and animals. NewYork, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Janson, C. H. (1983). Adaptation of fruit morphology to dispersal agents in a Neotropical forest. *Science*, 219, 187–189. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.219.4581.187
- Knudsen, J. T., Eriksson, R., Gershenzon, J., & Ståhl, B. (2006). Diversity and distribution of floral scent. Botanical Review; Interpreting Botanical Progress, 72(1), 1–120. https://doi. org/10.1663/0006-8101(2006)72[1:DADOFS]2.0.CO;2
- Lerdau, M., & Throop, H. L. (2000). Sources of variability in isoprene emission and photosynthesis in two species of tropical wet forest trees. *Biotropica*, 32, 670–676.
- Lomáscolo, S. B., Levey, D. J., Kimball, R. T., Bolker, B. M., & Alborn, H. T. (2010). Dispersers shape fruit diversity in *Ficus* (Moraceae). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(33), 14668– 14672. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008773107
- Lomáscolo, S. B., & Schaefer, H. M. (2010). Signal convergence in fruits: A result of selection by frugivores? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23, 614–624. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.01931.x

- Lord, J. M. (2002). Have frugivores influenced the evolution of fruit traits in New Zealand? In D. J. Levey, W. R. Silva, & M. Galetti (Eds.), Seed dispersal and frugivory: Ecology, evolution and conservation (pp. 55–68). Wallingford, UK: CABI.
- Melin, A. D., Nevo, O., Shirasu, M., Williamson, R. E., Garrett, E. C., Endo, M., ... Kawamura, S. (2019). Fruit scent and observer colour vision shape food-selection strategies in wild capuchin monkeys. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 2407. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-019-10250-9
- Nevo, O., & Ayasse, M. (2019). Fruit scent: Biochemistry, ecological function, and evolution. In J.-M. Merillon, & K. G. Ramawat (Eds.), *Co-evolution of secondary metabolites* (pp. 1–23). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Nevo, O., Garri, R. O., Hernandez Salazar, L. T., Schulz, S., Heymann, E. W., Ayasse, M., & Laska, M. (2015). Chemical recognition of fruit ripeness in spider monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi). Scientific Reports, 5, 14895–14895. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14895
- Nevo, O., & Heymann, E. W. (2015). Led by the nose: Olfaction in primate feeding ecology. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, 24, 137–148. https://doi. org/10.1002/evan.21458
- Nevo, O., Heymann, E. W., Schulz, S., & Ayasse, M. (2016). Fruit odor as a ripeness signal for seed-dispersing primates? A case study on four Neotropical plant species. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 42, 323–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-016-0687-x
- Nevo, O., Razafimandimby, D., Jeffrey, J. A. J., Schulz, S., & Ayasse, M. (2018). Fruit scent as an evolved signal to primate seed dispersal. Science Advances, 4, eaat4871. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat4871
- Nevo, O., & Valenta, K. (2018). The ecology and evolution of fruit odor: Implications for primate seed dispersal. International Journal of Primatology, 39(3), 338–355. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10764-018-0021-2
- Nevo, O., Valenta, K., Razafimandimby, D., Melin, A. D., Ayasse, M., & Chapman, C. A. (2018). Frugivores and the evolution of fruit colour. *Biology Letters*, 14, 20180377. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2018.0377
- Nevo, O., Valenta, K., Tevlin, A. G., Omeja, P., Styler, S. A., Jackson, D. J., ... Ayasse, M. (2017). Fruit defence syndromes: The independent evolution of mechanical and chemical defences. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 31, 913–923. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-017-9919-y
- Pagel, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, 401, 877–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/44766
- Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics*, 20, 289–290. https ://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412
- Pfeiffer, M., Huttenlocher, H., & Ayasse, M. (2010). Myrmecochorous plants use chemical mimicry to cheat seed-dispersing ants: Chemical mimicry in myrmecochory. *Functional Ecology*, 24(3), 545–555. https ://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01661.x
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R Core Team (2017). nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-131.
- R Core Team (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.r-project.org/
- Raguso, R. A. (2008). Wake up and smell the roses: The ecology and evolution of floral scent. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 39, 549–569. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecols ys.38.091206.095601
- Revell, L. J. (2012). Phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in Ecology and Evolution/British Ecological Society, 3, 217-223. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x

- Sánchez, F., Korine, C., Pinshow, B., & Dudley, R. (2004). The possible roles of ethanol in the relationship between plants and frugivores: First experiments with Egyptian fruit bats. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 44(4), 290–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/ icb/44.4.290
- Sánchez, F., Korine, C., Steeghs, M., Laarhoven, L.-J., Cristescu, S. M., Harren, F. J. M., ... Pinshow, B. (2006). Ethanol and methanol as possible odor cues for Egyptian fruit bats (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*). Journal of Chemical Ecology, 32(6), 1289–1300. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10886-006-9085-0
- Schaefer, H. M., & Braun, J. (2009). Reliable cues and signals of fruit quality are contingent on the habitat in black elder (*Sambucus nigra*). *Ecology*, 90(6), 1564–1573.
- Schaefer, H. M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2011). Animal-plant communication. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Schaefer, H. M., Valido, A., & Jordano, P. (2014). Birds see the true colours of fruits to live off the fat of the land. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20132516. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2516
- Schiestl, F. P. (2015). Ecology and evolution of floral volatile- mediated information transfer in plants. New Phytologist, 206, 571–577. https ://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13243
- Tom-Dery, D., Eller, F., Jensen, K., & Reisdorff, C. (2018). Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and climate change on biomass and nutritive value of Kyasuwa (Cenchrus pedicellatus Trin.). Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality, 91, 88–95.
- Unsicker, S. B., Kunert, G., & Gershenzon, J. (2009). Protective perfumes: The role of vegetative volatiles in plant defense against herbivores. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 12(4), 479–485. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.04.001
- Valenta, K., Brown, K. A., Rafaliarison, R. R., Styler, S. A., Jackson, D., Lehman, S. M., ... Melin, A. D. (2015). Sensory integration during foraging: The importance of fruit hardness, colour, and odour to brown lemurs. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 69(11), 1855–1865. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-015-1998-6
- Valenta, K., Burke, R. J., Styler, S. A., Jackson, D. A., Melin, A. D., & Lehman, S. M. (2013). Colour and odour drive fruit selection and seed dispersal by mouse lemurs. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 2424–2424. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep02424
- Valenta, K., Kalbitzer, U., Razafimandimby, D., Omeja, P., Ayasse, M., Chapman, C. A., & Nevo, O. (2018). The evolution of fruit colour: Phylogeny, abiotic factors and the role of mutualists. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 14302. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-32604-x
- Valenta, K., & Melin, A. D. (2012). Protein limitation explains variation in primate colour vision phenotypes: A unified model for the evolution of primate trichromatic vision. In M.-D. Garcia (Ed.), *Zoology* (pp. 29–46). Rijeka, Croatia: InTech.
- Valenta, K., Nevo, O., Martel, C., & Chapman, C. A. (2017). Plant attractants: Integrating insights from pollination and seed dispersal ecology. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 31(2), 249–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10682-016-9870-3
- Valido, A., Schaefer, H. M., & Jordano, P. (2011). Colour, design and reward: Phenotypic integration of fleshy fruit displays. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24(4), 751–760. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02206.x
- Widhalm, J. R., & Dudareva, N. (2015). A familiar ring to it: Biosynthesis of plant benzoic acids. *Molecular Plant*, 8(1), 83–97. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.molp.2014.12.001
- Wilson, D. A., Stevenson, R. J., & Stevenson, R. J. (2006). Learning to smell: Olfactory perception from neurobiology to behavior. Baltimore, MD: JHU Press.

Zanne, A. E., Tank, D. C., Cornwell, W. K., Eastman, J. M., Smith, S. A., FitzJohn, R. G., ... Beaulieu, J. M. (2014). Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. *Nature*, 506(7486), 89–92.

How to cite this article: Nevo O, Razafimandimby D, Valenta K, et al. Signal and reward in wild fleshy fruits: Does fruit scent predict nutrient content? *Ecol Evol*. 2019;00:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5573

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.